

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia	22313-1450
www.uspto.gov	

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	FILING DATE		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/924,430	08/08/2001		Katsumi Kurematsu	1232-4753	5154
27123	7590	03/25/2004		EXAMINER	
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 345 PARK AVENUE		ROBINSON	, MARK A		
NEW YORK, NY 10154	4	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,			2872	

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	09/924,430	KUREMATSU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mark A. Robinson	2872					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 i	November 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1,6 and 12-28 is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 and 25-28 is/are v	withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 12-24</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	,						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documer</li> </ol>							
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		·					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		ceived in this National Stage					
application from the International Bure		and the same of th					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not re	ceived.					
•••							
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Sun	nmany (PTO_413)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/924,430 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/25/03 has been entered.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claims 25-28 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally elected for the following reasons: Claims 25-28 are directed to the species shown in fig. 4 which was non-elected by applicant in the election filed 10/28/02. Accordingly, claims 25-28 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Application/Control Number: 09/924,430 Page 3

Art Unit: 2872

### Claim Objections

3. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: "as" as used in the last line is grammatically incorrect. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 20 and 21 recite various mirrors "not a rotational symmetry." However, the specification lacks explicit support for this limitation and accordingly the limitation constitutes new matter.

Art Unit: 2872

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 20 the alternative language makes it unclear precisely what elements are intended to be included in the claimed combination. Claim 20 appears to be an attempt to claim both disclosed embodiments in a single claim using an alternative format. The scope of such a claim is unascertainable.

Further, claims 20,21 and 23 recite various mirrors "not a rotational symmetry." This phrase is nonsensical. It is unclear if the limitation implies a curved mirror rather than some sort of rotational symmetry or a curved mirror which is rotationally asymmetric.

Inasmuch as the claims are able to be understood in light of the 112 rejections made above, the following rejection(s) apply:

Application/Control Number: 09/924,430

Art Unit: 2872

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

9. Claims 1,13-15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by applicant's admission of the prior art shown in fig. 6.

These claims stand rejected as discussed in the previous office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 11. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admission.

Use of well known Fresnel plates with the device shown in fig. 6 would have been obvious as discussed in the previous office action.

12. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Negishi 5871266 in view of Braat 6255661.

These claims stand rejected as discussed in the previous office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/924,430

Art Unit: 2872

13. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admission in view of Braat.

Although not shown by applicant's admission in fig. 6, use of six mirrors would have been obvious in light of Braat's disclosure in order to provide better image correction and to provide additional degrees of freedom in designing for the correction of aberrations.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Robinson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2319.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn, can be reached at (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 09/924,430 Page 7

Art Unit: 2872

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MR

3/10/04

MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER